139. 'Mixed' β -Peptides: A Unique Helical Secondary Structure in Solution

Preliminary Communication

by Dieter Seebach^{*}, Karl Gademann¹), Jürg V. Schreiber²), Jennifer L. Matthews³), Tobias Hintermann¹), and Bernhard Jaun

Laboratorium für Organische Chemie der Eidgenössischen Technischen Hochschule, ETH-Zentrum, Universitätstrasse 16, CH-8092 Zürich

and Lukas Oberer, Ulrich Hommel, and Hans Widmer

Präklinische Forschung, Novartis Pharma AG, Research Core Technology Area, CH-4002 Basel

(13. VIII. 97)

 β -Hexapeptides 1–5 and a β -dodecapeptide 6 with sequences containing two different types of β -amino acids (aliphatic proteinageous side chains in the 2- or in the 3-position) have been prepared. CD (*Fig. 1*) and NMR measurements indicate that, with one exception, the secondary structures formed by these new β -peptides differ from those of isomers studied previously. Detailed NMR analysis of the β -hexapeptide 5 (with alternating β^2,β^3 -building blocks) and molecular-dynamics simulations have produced a minimum energy conformation (*Fig. 2,b*) which might be described as a novel irregular helix containing ten- and twelve-membered H-bonded rings. This demonstrates the great structural variability of β -peptides, since three different helical secondary structures have been discovered to date.

It has come as a surprise to specialists that short-chain β -peptides A (n = 6, 7) form distinct secondary structures in solution [1-6]. The β -peptides studied so far contain either β^2 -amino acids ($\mathbb{R}^3 = \operatorname{Hin} A$) [3], or β^3 -amino acids ($\mathbb{R}^2 = \operatorname{Hin} A$) [1] [2], or cyclic β -amino acids ($\mathbb{R}^2 - \mathbb{R}^3 = (\operatorname{CH}_2)_n$, n = 3 or 4, in A) [4] [5]. The most remarkable type of secondary structure is helical, and two entirely different helices have hitherto been identified: a β_1 [1] [2] [4] and a $2, \beta_1$ [5] helix. The former contains 14-membered H-bonded rings (β_{14} helix), and has a dipole negative at the N- and positive at the C-terminus, the latter contains 12-membered rings ($2, \beta_{12}$ helix), with the dipole in the opposite direction. With substituents \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3 in the (Si) half-space of the stereogenic centers in A, the β_1 helix is left-handed (M) and the $2, \beta_1$ helix is right-handed (P) [6]. So far, only the β_1 helix has been observed by NMR spectroscopy of β -peptides containing rotationally unrestricted β -amino-acid residues, and a distinct CD pattern (trough at 215 nm, peak at 200 nm) has been assigned to the (M) helix [2].

We have now synthesized 'mixed' β -peptides 1-6 containing both β^2 - and β^3 -amino acids (which were prepared and coupled by the methods described previously [1-3]). The

¹) Part of the projected Ph. D. theses of K.G. and T.H., ETH-Zürich.

²) Part of the Master's thesis of J.V.S., ETH-Zürich, 1997.

³) Royal Society (UK) Postdoctoral Research Fellow 1995–1996; Swiss National Science Foundation Fellowship Holder 1996–1997 (grant No. 21-40659.94).



 β -hexapeptides 1 and 2 are constructed from a triad of β^2 - and a triad of β^3 -amino-acid residues, while 3 results from fragment coupling of two $\beta^3, \beta^2, \beta^3$ -tripeptides. The third type (4–6) contains sequences of alternating β^2 - and β^3 -amino acids. To stay as close as possible to natural α -peptides and to the previously reported β -peptides, we chose the side chains of value, alanine, and leucine, and the configuration of all stereogenic centers such that the now well-established (*M*) β_1 helix could have been adopted by all β -peptides 1–6.

We were stunned by the fact that only the deprotected β -hexapeptide 2 shows the familiar 215/200-nm CD pattern in MeOH solution, while all other new β -peptides 1 and 3-5, including the β -dodecapeptide 6 show a new type of CD spectrum with a single peak at *ca*. 205 nm (*Fig.* 1), and a record intensity of molar ellipticity of $6.5 \cdot 10^5$ for 6.

An NMR investigation of a C_5D_5N solution of β -hexapeptide 5, using TOCSY and ROESY experiments [7], resulted in full assignment of all resonances in the ¹H spectrum and in the determination of the sequence. Inspection of the ROESY cross-peaks showed



Fig. 1. CD Spectra of β -peptides 1-6 (ca. 0.2 mM in MeOH; mol. ellipticity in deg · cm² · dmol⁻¹). Only the deprotected hexapeptide 2 shows the characteristic pattern (blue curve in a) assigned to a β -peptide β_1 helix [2]. All other samples (of 1 and 3-6) give rise to peaks at ca. 205 nm. a) CD Spectra of β -hexapeptides consisting of trimer blocks; red: 1, blue: 2; green: 3. b) CD Spectra of β -peptides with alternating β^2, β^3 sequences; red: 4; blue: 5; green: 6.



a

significant NOEs between residues 2 and 4, and residues 4 and 6. This is in contrast to NOEs observed for a typical 3_1 helix, where additional *i* to i + 3 relations are found. Forty ROESY cross-peaks were ordered in three distance categories (strong < 2.8 Å, medium < 3.5 Å and weak < 4.5 Å) which served as upper-bound distance restraints for standard annealing molecular-dynamics simulations using the X-PLOR package [8]. The calculation converged to a bundle of structures with a central ten-membered H-bonded turn shown in Fig. 2, a, while the H-bonding between residues 1 and 4, as well as 3 and 6 is very weak. Since the force field used in this calculation does not account for H-bonding interactions, we decided to perform an unrestrained 50-ps molecular-dynamics simulation using AMBER* (MacroModel) [9] [10]. A conformation taken from the NOE-restrained modeling was used as starting structure for a molecular-dynamics simulation at 50 K in vacuo. The ten lowest-energy conformations of the trajectory were each minimized in energy and all converged to a single conformer depicted in Fig. 2, b. This minimized structure is still consistent with the NMR-derived structural family, but, in addition to the central ten-membered turn, twelve-membered H-bonding rings are present in both the C- and N-terminal regions.

This structure might be considered as a very unusual kind of helix, consisting of a wide, a narrow, and another wide turn. The sense of helicity is right-handed (P), and the helix has a strongly reduced dipole, since its amide C=O bonds point alternately up and down the helix axis. From the top view in *Fig. 2, b*, it is evident that the hydrophobic value and leucine side chains are in juxtaposition on one side of the structure. If the β -peptide were to adopt a β_1 helical conformation, the alignment of substituents described above would not occur and, thus, may be the reason why a β_1 helical structure is not observed in this case (a detailed disussion will be given in a forthcoming full paper).

The so far unknown NMR structure of the β -peptide 5 in MeOH solution will tell us whether the characteristic CD spectra shown in *Fig. 1* are actually indicative of the helical structure described herein. It will also be interesting to learn whether the 12/10/12 ring pattern in this structure is repetitive in longer-chain analogs of 5 (such as 6). Furthermore, the strikingly high solubility of the protected β -hexa- and β -dodecapeptide 4 and 6 in organic solvents (such as AcOEt) and the fact that the dodecamer 6 moves much faster on a thin-layer chromatography plate than the hexamer 4 will hopefully be understood as more structural information about the 'mixed' β -peptides with alternating β^2, β^3 residues becomes available.

We are indebted to Dr. Xavier Daura for help during the preparation of this manuscript. We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Royal Society, UK (fellowship to J.L.M.) and the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant No. 21-40659.94). We thank Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, for continuing support of the work done in Zürich. Generous supply of amino acids by Degussa AG, Hanau, of trifluoroacetic acid by Solvay Deutschland, Hannover, and of THF by BASF, Ludwigshafen, is greatly appreciated.

REFERENCES

- D. Seebach, M. Overhand, F. N. M. Kühnle, B. Martinoni, L. Oberer, U. Hommel, H. Widmer, *Helv. Chim.* Acta 1996, 79, 913.
- [2] D. Seebach, P. E. Ciceri, M. Overhand, B. Jaun, D. Rigo, L. Oberer, U. Hommel, R. Amstutz, H. Widmer, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1996, 79, 2043.
- [3] T. Hintermann, D. Seebach, Synlett 1997, 437.

- [4] D. H. Apella, L. A. Christianson, I. L. Karle, D. R. Powell, S. H. Gellman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 13071.
- [5] D. H. Apella, L. A. Christianson, D. A. Klein, D. R. Powell, S. Huang, J. J. Barchi, S. H. Gellmann, Nature (London) 1997, 387, 381.
- [6] D. Seebach, J. L. Matthews, Chem. Commun. 1997, Feature Article, to appear in November issue.
- [7] A. A. Bothner-By, R. L. Stephens, J. M. Lee, C. D. Warren, J. W. Jeanloz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 811; J. K. M. Sanders, B. K. Hunter, 'Modern NMR Spectroscopy, A Guide For Chemists', Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1993.
- [8] A. T. Brünger, in 'X-PLOR Manual V3.0', Yale University, New Haven, 1992.
- [9] D. Q. McDonald, W. C. Still, Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 7743.
- [10] F. Mohamadi, N. G. J. Richards, W. C. Guida, R. Liskamp, M. Lipton, C. Caufield, G. Chang, T. Hendrickson, W. C. Still, J. Comput. Chem. 1990, 11, 440.
- [11] E. A. Merrit, M. E. P. Murphy, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1994, 24, 946.
- [12] D. J. Bacon, W. F. A. Anderson, J. Mol. Graphics 1988, 6, 219.